When partner opens 1 NT then he has said it all - a balanced hand in the 15-17 point range, with at least two cards in every suit.

| Hand 1 | Hand 2 | Hand 3 | Hand 4 | Hand 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ค 982 | ^ J 92 | - K92 | ヘ K92 | - K92 |
| $\checkmark$ Q10852 | - AQ1052 | - AQ1052 | $\checkmark$ AQ1052 | $\checkmark$ AQ1052 |
| - J87 | - J87 | - J87 | - A107 | - A107 |
| * Q9 | - 95 | - 95 | * Q5 | * A5 |

Consider these five hands after partner has opened 1NT (15-17). They all have a decent 5 card $\downarrow$ suit and either $\downarrow$ 's or NT could possibly be the final contract with all five. But Hand 1 is weak, Hand 2 is invitational, Hand 3 is worth game, Hand 4 is slam invitational and Hand 5 is definitely worth slam. But how do we inform partner that we have a suit and then also tell partner about our strength?

With traditional natural methods you bid naturally. So $2 \boldsymbol{v}$, weak with hand 1. With Hands 3,4 and 5 you bid $3 \boldsymbol{\bullet}$, forcing. Quite what you are meant to do with hand 2 is undefined. Just toss a coin? Of course it's totally unworkable, you cannot define weak, invitational and strong hands with just two bids ( $2 \bullet$ \& $3 \vee$ ); the solution was found by Oswald Jacoby. With all of these hands your first bid is $2 \star$, a transfer that says that you have $5 \vee$ 's (any strength) and requests partner to bid $2 \boldsymbol{\downarrow}$, regardless of his strength or $\downarrow$ holding. The same applies with a $\uparrow$ suit, when $2 \boldsymbol{v}$ is the transfer bid.

Once opener complies with our transfer request, we then show the strength of our hand. In all of these examples we have a 5 card $\downarrow$ suit. Our initial transfer promises at least 5 cards in the suit and so we $d o$ not repeat it. All of these hands are relatively balanced and so NT is the natural rebid.

How does the bidding progress with our 5 example hands?
Hand 1: 1NT $-2-2 \downarrow$ - pass. This hand is not strong enough to bid again. You need 8-9 points to invite and so the only options were to pass the original 1NT or to transfer and then pass.
Transferring usually works out best.
Hand 2: $1 \mathrm{NT}-2-2-2 \mathrm{NT}$. An invitational sequence. With a minimal hand, opener may either pass or bid $3 \vee$. With a maximum he will bid either 3 NT or $4 \vee$.
Hand 3: 1NT $-2-2 \downarrow-3 N T$. This shows game values with $5 \boldsymbol{\downarrow}$ 's. If opener has $4 \boldsymbol{\downarrow}$ 's he will convert to $4 \boldsymbol{\vee}$; if opener has only $2 \downarrow$ 's he will pass $3 N T$; if opener has $3 \downarrow$ 's he usually elects to go for the $5-3$ fit but may pass 3 NT with good holdings in the other suits.

Hand 4: 1NT $-2-2 \vee-4$ NT. This is a slam invitation showing a $5 \operatorname{card} \downarrow$ suit.
Hand 5: 1NT $-2-2-4 \boldsymbol{*}$. As we use 4 NT as a natural slam invitation this is Gerber, asking for aces on the way to slam. I will cover ace asking conventions (Blackwood and Gerber) in subsequent news-sheets.

Fine, but what does responder do if he has an unbalanced hand and so does not want to bid NT at his $2^{\text {nd }}$ turn? Perhaps a 6 card suit, or a $2^{\text {nd }}$ suit?

So let's have a look at responding hands that are not relatively balanced: -

| Hand 6 | Hand 7 | Hand 8 | Hand 9 | Hand 10 | Hand 11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - 2 | - J9 | ค 92 | ค 92 | - J9 | - 92 |
| - Q10852 | $\checkmark$ AQ1052 | $\checkmark$ AQ1052 | - AQ1052 | - AQ10652 | - AQ10652 |
| - J8754 | - J872 | - KJ874 | - AK107 | - 872 | - KJ87 |
| * Q9 | - 95 | * 9 | - A 5 | * 95 | - 9 |

Consider the first 4 hands after partner has opened 1NT (15-17). They all have a decent 5 card $\boldsymbol{\varphi}$ suit but this week they also have a $2^{\text {nd }}$ suit, so how should we bid them?

They all have a decent 5 card $\bullet$ suit and either $\bullet$ 's, 's or NT could possibly be the final contract with all four. But Hand 6 is weak, Hand 7 is invitational, Hand 8 is worth game and Hand 9 is worth slam. How do we inform partner that we have a suit plus a suit and then also tell partner about our strength?

We start off with a 2 transfer bid with all of the hands.
Once opener complies with our transfer request, we then show the strength of our hand. In the examples 6-9 we have a 5 card $\downarrow$ suit. Our initial transfer promises at least 5 cards in the suit and we can now bid our $2^{\text {nd }}$ suit naturally (if we are strong enough). But be careful, a transfer followed by a $2^{\text {nd }}$ suit is always game forcing.

How does the bidding progress with our first 4 example hands?
Hand 6: 1NT $-2-2-$ - pass. This hand is not strong enough to bid again. You need 8-9 points to invite and it's best to play unbalanced hands in a suit contract. You are not strong enough to look for a $\bullet$ fit as a $3 \bullet$ bid would be game forcing.

Hand 7: 1 NT $-2 \bullet-2 \downarrow-2 N T$. An invitational sequence, you cannot bid $\downarrow$ 's as that would be game forcing; you really have no option but to treat the hand as balanced. With a minimal hand, opener may either pass or bid $3 \vee$. With a maximum he will bid either 3NT or $4 \vee$.

Hand 8: 1NT $-2 \bullet-2 \downarrow-3 \bullet$. This shows game values with $5 \downarrow$ 's and $4+\bullet$ 's. Partner should know enough to select the best game contract - 3NT, $4 \downarrow$ or (rarely) $5 \star$.
Hand 9: 1NT $-2-2 \downarrow-3$. This sequence starts off the same, inform partner of your two suits and later investigate the best slam.

The last two hands (10\&11) have a 6 card $\downarrow$ suit, how do we handle them? We start with a transfer and then bid the suit naturally $-3 \boldsymbol{v}$ is invitational to game and $4 \vee$ is to play: -
Hand 10: 1 NT $-2-2 \downarrow-3 \downarrow$. This shows an invitational hand with $6 \downarrow$ 's. Partner will either pass or bid 4.

Hand 11: 1 NT $-2 \bullet-2 \downarrow-4 \downarrow$. This shows game values with $6 \downarrow$ 's. Partner will pass.

Remember, you need a 6 card suit to transfer and then bid the suit again. If you transfer and then bid a new suit, this is game forcing.

