Club Nev	ws She	et – No	b. 214	www.	patta	yabr	idge.com	9 th Dec	c 2006
Mon 4 th	1 st N-S	Jan & F	Kaare	4	59%	2^{nd}	Britta & Anna-I	Lisa	58%
	$1^{st} E-W$	Jo & Te	erry	(56%	2^{nd}	Alan & Lewis		55%
Wed 6th	$1^{st} N-S$	Kennet	h & Phil	(53%	2^{nd}	Gaston & Ursul	la	55%
	$1^{st} E-W$	Lewis &	& Terry	(65%	2^{nd}	Jelle & Janny		57%
Fri 8 th	$1^{st} N-S$	Gunnar	& Lars	(50%	2^{nd}	Gaston & Jean-	Charles	59%
	$1^{st} E-W$	Lewis &	& Terry	(67%	2^{nd}	John Pugh & Jir	n	54%
Bidding Qui	iz		Standard	l America	an is a	s s ume	ed unless otherv	wise stat	ted.
Hand A	Hand	В		•		-	en 1NT (that's O HO doubles. Wh	-	·
♦ A7	♠ AQ	953						5	
♥ A8	v 109		With Han	d B partn	er ope	ns 1N'	T and RHO over	calls 2♥.	What
◆ A7632	♦ Q3		do you do	o?	1				
♣ QJ108	♣ J63		·						
Hand C	Hand	D	Do you open with Hand C? And if so, what do you open?						?
♠ AQJ52	♠ A65	542	With Hand D RHO opens 1Ψ . (a) What do you do?						
♥ 10	♥ A1()54	(b) Suppose you choose double and partner bids 2♣, what do						
♦ 3	♦ A		you do now?						
♣ K87652	♣ AK	.7							
Hand E	Hand	F	With Han	d E partn	er ope	ns ♠, v	vhat do you bid?		
♠ Q94	♠ A10)76							
♥ J7	♥ 8				1		LHO overcalls 2-	· · · · · ·	
♦ J986	♦ AK				-		t promising both	majors)	and
♣ AJ43	♣ KJ9	962	RHO bids	s 3♦, wha	t do yo	ou do?			
Hand G	Hand	Н	With Han you bid?	d G you c	pen 1	▲ and]	partner responds	2 ♠ , wha	ıt do
★ AK10753	2 ♠ K86	6							
♥ AK53	v 109)	With Han	d H RHO	opens	s 1NT,	, what do you bid	1?	
◆ 3	• 6								
♣ 9	♣ KQ	J9653							
Bidding Sec	quences (<u>Quiz</u>							
J 1NT 2	2♥ dbl		What is	s dbl?					

J	IINI	2▼	abi	what is dol?
K	1NT	2♥	2♠	What is 2♠?
L	1NT	2♥	3♠	What is 3♠?
Μ	1♠	pass	2♠	How many \bigstar 's does the raise to $2\bigstar$ promise?
Ν	1♣	1♥	1♠	How many ♠'s does the 1♠ bid promise?
Р	1 ▲ pass	1	1NT pass	What is dbl?

The Bridge Club Championship Races

The current standings for all competitions are in the results folder and on the web-site. The main move of the week was Lewis leaping into top place in both the Silver and Bronze competitions (but of course one cannot win both). Unfortunately he has not played enough to qualify for the Gold Cup. Since Phil has now gone back to the UK for Christmas and Lewis is off to the States for a few months the winners are fairly certain unless Alan can get in a few good results; he needs about 10 points in either the silver or bronze to get the top spot. A couple of good results would see him get the silver and then Lewis would get the bronze to oust Clive who is hanging on despite not having played for ages. Either way Lewis is pretty much secured to win one competition.

We currently have 8 people qualified for contention (30 results above 53%) in the Gold Cup:

1	Dave Cutler	1865.6
2	Bob Pelletier	1795.8
3	Bob Short	1784.3
4	Phil Lovell	1779.2
5	Michael Guin	1760.9
6	Alan Purdy	1751.2
7	Bill Noe	1747.5
8	Kenneth Johansson	1754.3

The top standings for the Silver Plate (best 10) and Bronze medal (best 5) are as follows. For clarity I have removed Dave, Bob P and Bob S who are now pretty secure in the top 3 positions of the Gold Cup since Phil has gone home.

Silve	er Plate	Bro	onze Medal		
2 1	Lewis Berg	644.5	2	Lewis Berg	337.8
5 (Clive Bell	637.8	3	Clive Bell	334.3
6 I	Phil Lovell	633.8	6	Phil Lovell	328.5
7 /	Alan Purdy	628.6	7	Ruth Ibler	327.2
8 1	Hans Bijvoet	624.2	8	Jim Wallington	327.1
9 I	Derek & Gerard	623.7	9	Alan Purdy	325.8
10 J	Jan v Koss	618.4	10	Hans Bijvoet	325.1

The triple

I note that a player achieved the elusive triple last week. The triple is winning on Monday, Wednesday and Friday all in the same week. According to my records this feat has only been achieved three other times this year (twice by this same individual):- in Feb (news-sheet 172) and Jan (169). Quite how this beach bum manages it is a mystery, I guess he has good partners?

Basic 2/1

A couple of our more experienced players have finally decided to take the very sensible step of learning 2/1, so I have written a couple of pages on it. It is intended for anybody with a good understanding of Standard American to pick up the basics of 2/1. Copies are in the conventions folder and I will doubtless improve upon it when I get feedback/questions.

I will put it up on the internet when it's finalised and after I have had some comments.

That dreaded 4333 type shape again

Dealer: ♠ 6 Table A South **V** K8542 West North East(B) South E-W vul ♦ 1042 pass **♣** KQ97 1NT(1)2♥ 3♠ (2)pass pass (3)pass Ν **▲** KJ7 ♠ AO953 W E ♥ O63 ♥ 1097 Table B KJ8 S • Q3 West South North East ♣ A542 ♣ J63 pass ▲ 10842 1 🛧 (1)1♥ 1 (4) pass (5) all pass ♥ AJ 2♠ A9765 ♣ 108

Three pairs got too high with these E-W cards.

- Table A: (1) What would you open with this West hand? Quite why this West opened a strong NoTrump is beyond me, maybe because of the balanced shape with a stopper in every suit? But you should deduct for 4333 type shape; this hand is only worth 13 points and is way short of a strong No Trump.
 - (2) What was you answer to sequence L? This bid is game forcing.
 - (3) But West thought that it was not and said that East should double with a strong hand. See my answers to sequences J, K and L. I have no idea if this incorrect statement was influenced by the fact that he had overbid with his opening.
- Table B: (1) The correct opening of course.
 - (4) Playing negative doubles this promises 5 ♠'s (sequence N).
 - (5) And West has an easy bid to end the auction in the correct spot.

And what happened? Three pairs got too high with $3 \triangleq$ and all went one down. Four East's played in the sensible $2 \triangleq$.

The bottom lines: -

- E-W have a superb 5-3 ♠ fit and 23 points, so why does 3♠ go down? ...
- ... because of the dreaded 4333 type shape! This West hand is junk; change it slightly to ♠KJ3 ♥63 ♦KJ82 ♣AQ42 and 3♠ makes easily.
- How many times do I have to say it knock off a point for the totally flat 4333 type shape. It is bad for both NoTrump and suit contracts.

Incidentally, Jo gave me a few Dutch bridge magazines, and what did I see in the very first one I started to read? – An article titled "4-3-3-3 hand **slecht** bezit"

And the first paragraph said: 'In deze aflevering komt de 4333 verdeling steeds terug. Het is een oud theme: trek gerust een point af met die verdeling'.

A translation is: "The 4333 distribution is bad" 'In this article we see the 4333 distribution yet again. It's an old theme, contentedly deduct a point with this distribution.'

See - it's not just me who has to keep on saying it.

An awkward overcall

Dealer: South Love all	 ▲ K983 ♥ 3 ♦ 109872 ♥ 984 		<u>Table A</u> West(D) - 1♠ (1)	North - all pass	East -	South 1♥
 ▲ A6542 ♥ A1054 ♦ A ♣ AK7 	N W E S ◆ QJ10 ♥ K9862 ◆ KJ4 ◆ Q2	 ↑ 7 ♥ QJ7 ♦ Q653 ♥ J10653 	Table B West(D) - dbl (1) 2NT (2)	North - pass pass	East - 2♣ 3NT (3)	South 1♥ pass all pass

I was asked about how to bid this West hand, just two pairs out of nine reached the easy 3NT:

- Table A: (1) What would you bid with this West hand D(a) in this week's quiz? Nineteen points really is too strong for a simple 1♠ overcall. I accept that the ♠ suit is weak, but with good shape, nice ♥'s sitting over opener and excellent top cards one really has to do something more. I go along with table B.
- Table B:
 (1) West has options but I think it's way too good for 1 ▲ and also too good for 1NT. Double seems best to me, as long as you know what to do next...
 - (2) ... what did you bid with this West hand D(b) in this week's quiz? With these decent ♥ 's I prefer 2NT. 2♠ and 3♣ are less good but reasonable alternatives.
 - (3) And East has an easy raise opposite 19-20.

And what happened? 1 was passed out four times and made 9 or 10 tricks. Two pairs reached the good 3NT making +1 on any lead. I note that it was played by East once; after the initial 1 \checkmark opening I suspect the bidding went: dbl - 2 \clubsuit - 3 \clubsuit - 3NT which is a reasonable auction.

- 19 points is too good for a simple overcall; the generally accepted maximum is 17.
- A good 19 points is also too good for a 1NT overcall: double and then bid NoTrumps.

Dealer: **▲** A7 North ♥ A8 West North(A) East South ◆ A7632 Both vul 1NT(1)**2♥** (2) pass _ ♣ QJ108 dbl (3)2♠ (4) pass 3♥ (5)pass 3NT pass 4♥ (6) **▲** J94 Ν **♦** O108 pass (7)pass W E ♥ 5 **V**K10932 ♦ J9 S KQ854 ♣ 965 ♣ A742 **▲** K6532 ♥ QJ764 ♦ 10 ♣ K3

You do not need to complete the transfer if RHO doubles: -

- (1) Open 1NT with two doubletons? When the 5-4 suits are the minors I have no problem with opening 1NT. If you open 1♦ you have rebid problems.
- (2) It's up to your partnership understanding how you bid 5-5 in the major hands opposite 1NT. I transfer with 2♥ and then bid 3♥ guaranteeing 5-5 as with a 5-4 hand I always use Stayman.
- (3) This double shows $\mathbf{\nabla}$'s and asks for a $\mathbf{\nabla}$ lead.
- (4) What did you bid with this North hand A in this week's quiz? You should pass! With this particular deal it would have worked a treat as South would have redoubled and scored an easy plus one for a clear top. I give the bids when RHO doubles Jacoby below.
- (5) Showing just $4 \checkmark$'s in their methods.
- (6) Now showing 5-5.
- (7) But North went wrong again here. He should bid 4 because: -
 - (a) West has shown good \checkmark 's
 - (b) South may have $6 \bigstar$'s and $5 \checkmark$'s.

And what happened? 4♥ went minus one and minus two the two times it was bid. 4♠ was bid just once and made. 3NT was bid six times and went down on 5 occasions.

The bottom lines: -

- When RHO doubles partner's transfer bid the best treatment is to play: -

Pass	=	two of partner's suit
Redbl	=	A good holding (4+) in the cipher suit.
2 of partner's suit	=	three cards (or 4 but not good enough to super-accept) in partner's suit.
other	=	super accept.

Dealer: West N-S vul	 ▲ K975 ♥ AQJ3 ◆ Q8 ◆ A42 		West $1 \blacklozenge$ pass $3 \blacklozenge$ (3)	North dbl 1♥ pass	East South redbl (1) pass dbl (2) pass 3♥ (4) pass
 ▲ Q3 ♥ K10 ◆ AKJ10752 ◆ 65 	N W E S ◆ J104 ♥ 962 ◆ 643 ◆ J873	 ▲ A872 ♥ 8754 ♥ 9 ♣ KQ109 	3NT (5)	all pass	5 (1) puss

It is often essential to protect a tenace, especially in a suit bid by the opposition: -

- (1) 9+ points and often a mis-fit with partner (as in this case).
- (2) Penalties.
- (3) West could pass, and normally should. But this hand is great in offence and may have very little in defence; the 3♦ bid shows just that.
- (4) East only wants to play in 3NT if partner has a \checkmark stop (and is declarer).
- (5) I have a \forall stop.

And what happened? Only two pairs reached the decent 3NT, but at the other table it was East who bid it and he went four down. At this table it made +2 for near a top. It was only beaten by 2Ψ doubled minus three (800).

The bottom lines: -

- You can ask partner for a stop by bidding the opponent's suit.

The problem with Jacoby 2NT

Most players who play the Jacoby 2NT convention play that it shows an opening hand + and is unlimited. However, this really is a poor treatment as opener does not know if responder has a minimal 12 points or a 16+ monster. This deal illustrates the problem nicely: -

Dealer:	▲ 962		Table A			
North	v 964		West	North	East	South
Love all	♦ J6		-	pass	1♥	pass
	♣ Q8532		2NT(1)	pass	3♣ (2)	pass
			3♥ (3)	pass	3♠ (2)	pass
▲ Q85	Ν	▲ AJ10	4 ♣ (4)	pass	4♦ (2)	pass
💙 AK53	W E	♥ QJ1072	4♥ (5)	pass	4NT (6)	pass
♦ 8752	S	♦ AK93	5♥ (7)	pass	7♥ (8)	all pass
♣ K6		♣ A				
	♠ K743		<u>'Expert' Ta</u>	<u>ible</u>		
	♥ 8		West	North	East	South
	♦ Q104		-	pass	1♥	pass
	♣ J10974		4 ♦ (1)	pass	4 ♠ (9)	pass
			5 (10)	pass	5♦ (11)	pass
			5♥ (12)	pass	6♥ (13)	all pass

Table A: (1) The Jacoby 2NT convention; promising 4 card \checkmark support and opening values.

- (2) Cue bid.
- (3) Denying a first round control (other than $\mathbf{\Psi}$'s).
- (4) 2^{nd} round \clubsuit control
- (5) I believe that this denies the ♠K as otherwise West would cue bid 5♠. However, this is a moot point; some play that responder should not go past 4 of the major with a minimum for his Jacoby 2NT bid.
- (6) RKCB
- (7) Two keycards without the $\mathbf{\Psi}Q$.
- (8) But here we see the problem, East has no idea if West has 12 points or 18! This is the problem with playing a wide-ranging Jacoby 2NT.
- Table B: (1) Our experts solve the problem by playing Swiss as well as Jacoby 2NT. This is all up on the web site under 'Raising partner's 1♥/▲ opening with a big hand'; it is also similar to what Max hardy suggests in his excellent book 'Standard bridge bidding for the 21st century'. Anyway, 4♣ here is keycard Swiss, showing a sound raise to 4♥ with 4 trumps and 12-15 points but lacking the requirements for 4♣ (two keycards and a feature).
 - (9) East can still cue bid in search of the grand if he wishes but he knows that West is limited. He could simply bid 6♥.
 - (10) The $\bigstar K$ (11) The $\bigstar A$ (12) Nothing more outside of trumps.
 - (13) And East knows to stop in the small slam.

And what happened? 5 pairs bid 6Ψ ; two made and three went one down. There is a throw-in/end play to make 6Ψ but obviously you cannot use that technique in a grand slam so East at table A tried the \clubsuit finesse and went two down.

The bottom lines: -

- It is a good idea for experienced players to play (Keycard) Swiss in conjunction with Jacoby.

A 3-level weak jump overcall has to be a good suit if vul.

Dealer: ▲ 874 East **V** AK853 West(me) North South(C) East E-W vul ♦ A10 pass 1♣ (1) ♣ AJ3 3♦ (2)dbl (3)3♠ (4) pass 3NT(5) all pass pass ▲ K109 Ν ♠ 63 **9**4 W E **V**OJ762 S ♦ KQ987642 ♦ J5 ♣ Q1094 🏚 – ▲ AQJ52 ♥ 10 ♦ 3 ♣ K87652

East was put off by some totally unnecessary (and illegal) chatter during this auction.

- (1) What did you open with this South hand C in this week's quiz? It's 21 for the rule of 20 and I most certainly would open. But 1♣ or 1♠? I personally would open 1♠ but would not argue if you chose 1 ♣.
- (2) This is a great hand for a pre-empt, but at unfavourable vulnerability $4 \blacklozenge$ is too much. I bid $3 \blacklozenge$.
- (3) This apparently was penalties. It's up to partnership understanding how high you play negative doubles. Anyway, on the assumption that West (i.e. me) knows what he is doing with a pre-empt at unfavourable vulnerability I would no go for the penalty but bid a (forcing) 3♥.
- (4) This seems pretty clear to me. Even if partner's double is penalties this hand may be virtually useless in defence.
- (5) But North did not agree and verbally slated partner for pulling his double of the vulnerable opponents. Apart from being rude it is also very ignorant, not having seen partner's hand. With just one ♦ stop I would bid 4♠ partner has promised an unbalanced hand.

And what happened? When dummy came down North again slated partner- saying that he would not open. I disagree, but then I am not Italian. Obviously East should lead the \bigstar J and 3NT is then going four down when declarer takes the losing \bigstar finesse. However, North's shenanigans convinced East that North had some sort of \bigstar stack and he led a \blacktriangledown .

3NT then went just one down for an exact average. Other results were all over the place, with two N-S's going down (2 or 3 tricks) in $6 \ge$. Nobody found the very sensible $4 \ge$ contract. Two East's bid $4 \ge$ and were doubled; going one or two down. In a 'normal' field most N-S's would be in $4 \ge$ making and 3 \diamondsuit doubled would go just one down for a top to E-W.

- Lead partner's suit; J from Jx is usually an excellent lead.
- A pre-empt at unfavourable vulnerability guarantees a good suit.
- Don't talk (or criticize others!) during the auction.
- Believe partner's bid rather than the chatter of an opponent who has no idea what he is talking about.
- You are not allowed to talk or make gestures during the auction/play.
- Even during the play declarer was muttering away to himself 'seven ♦'s with West' it never occurred to him that at this vulnerability West may have eight ♦'s!

Bid 3NT or the 4-card major?

This problem for West is very similar to the one with the same title in last week's news sheet (Board 15 from Friday 27^{th}). It was also question F in the quiz last week; and to show that I am indeed consistent, I chose the same bid again this time.

Dealer: West E-W vul	 ▲ J542 ♥ A107 ◆ Q109864 ◆ - 		West(F) 1♣ 3NT (4)	North 2♦ (1) all pass	East dbl (2)	South 3♦ (3)
 ▲ A1076 ♥ 8 ♦ AK ♣ KJ962 	N W E S ◆ 83 ♥ QJ632 ◆ J75 ◆ A84	 ▲ KQ9 ♥ K954 ◆ 62 ♣ Q1053 				

- (1) Weak
- (2) Negative. At this level it cannot show both majors. I think it's best to play it as showing values and only guaranteeing one 4-card major.
- (3) A good bid obeying The Law
- (4) What did you bid with this West hand F in this week's quiz? Should you look for a 4-4 ♠ fit or bid 3NT with these ♦ stops? I bid 3NT because: -
 - (a) Partner almost certainly has $\mathbf{\nabla}$'s and so may well not have $4 \mathbf{\triangleleft}$'s.
 - (b) If you bid 3♠ then there is no chance of getting into 3NT if that's the best spot.
 - (c) If partner happens to have both majors then he will probably bid 4♦ (pick a major) and you can then bid 4♠.

And what happened? $3NT \mod +2$ for a near top.

- When you have no room to show both a 4-card major and a stop for NoTrump you have to make the decision. I think it's usually best to opt for 3NT but it depends upon the exact hand.
- Virtually all of this page is simply copied from last week's news sheet.

The help-suit slam try

A straightforward slam was missed by N-S on this deal. Gaston asked me to tell Jean-Charles that sequence N ($1 \ge -pass - 2 \ge 0$) promises 4 card support. I have no idea what system they play in Italy but I totally agree with Jean-Charles that supporting partner at the two level most certainly does not promise 4-card support in any system that I know of (even Acol).

Dealer: East N-S vul	 ▲ Q94 ♥ J7 ◆ J986 ▲ AJ43 		<u>Table A</u> West - pass (1) pass	North(E) - 1NT (2) 3NT	East pass 2♣ pass	South 1♠ 3♣ (3) 4♠
 ▲ J6 ♥ 1092 ♦ KQ10752 ♣ 106 	N W E S	 ▲ 8 ♥ Q864 ◆ A4 ◆ KQ8752 	all pass <u>'Expert' 7</u> West		East	South(G)
	 ▲ AK10753 ♥ AK53 ◆ 3 ◆ 9 	2	- pass pass all pass	- 2♠ (2) 4♣ (5)	pass pass (3) pass	1♠ 3♥ (4) 6♠ (6)

Table A: (1) A weak $3 \blacklozenge$ is an alternative at this vulnerability.

- (2) What did you bid with this North hand E in this week's quiz? 2♠ is pretty automatic; especially with the ♠Q and a weak doubleton. Gaston (North) insists that 2♠ promises 4 card support. He is the only person that I have ever met who believes this. I would bid 2♠ with this North hand even if playing 4-card majors.
- (3) I would bid 3♥ here in case there is a 4-4 or better ♥ fit, Lewis said that he would bid 4♠; it not that important. I don't really see the point of 3♣ but it does not really matter now as the slam will never be bid once North has denied ♠ support.
- 'Expert' (2) The three level is too high for East to come in.
- Table: (4) What did you bid with this South hand G in this week's quiz? 3♥ here is a help-suit game try (which also happens to be natural). This is a good example of a help-suit game try actually being a slam try.
 - (5) This hand is good enough to accept; and if accepting it's best to cue bid an ace if you have one just in case partner is looking for slam. The only exception is when you hold the ♥A with ♠'s 'agreed' as a 4♥ bid is natural.
 - (6) South could try RKCB, but there are only the values for a small slam and he knows that partner has the A.

And what happened? Most people just got to $4 \pm$; some made +1 and some made +2. $6 \pm$ should be easy to make; \blacklozenge lead and continuation which you ruff; $\blacktriangledown AK$ and ruff a \heartsuit ; play the $\bigstar Q$; back to hand with the $\bigstar A$; ruff another \heartsuit ; ruff a \bigstar and draw the last trump.

Jean-Charles (South) said that he would have bid the slam had he known of \bigstar support opposite; Gaston (North) simply criticised his partner's bidding and tried to get me and Lewis to back him up. It was J-C who got our full support.

- Support partner's major at the two level with Qxx, especially if you have a weak doubleton...
- ... but direct support at the three level does indeed promise 4 card support.
- A help-suit game try may actually be a slam try...
- ... so it is good policy to cue bid an ace if accepting.

Dealer: North Both vul	 ▲ J1097 ♥ Q543 ◆ Q875 ◆ 2 		West - dbl (2)	North pass all pass	East 1NT	South(H) 3♣ (1)
 ▲ Q53 ♥ KJ72 ◆ KJ102 ◆ 74 	N W E S ♠ K86 ♥ 109 ♦ 6 ♣ KQJ9653	 ▲ A42 ▼ A86 ◆ A943 ▲ A108 				

South got clobbered here when most E-W's were going down in 3NT: -

- (1) What did you bid with this South hand H in this week's quiz? Had East opened one of a suit then a 3♣ pre-empt would be automatic; but over 1NT, especially vulnerable, it is dangerous as LHO knows that partner has a strong balanced hand and you may get doubled for penalties. I would pass as this hand has excellent defence against either 3NT or 4 of a major.
- (2) Penalties. The pre-empt has robbed West of room to investigate a 4-4 ♥ fit and so he took the vulnerable money.

And what happened? 3 doubled went for 500 and a top for E-W as most E-W's were going down in the obvious 3NT had South kept quiet.

- Pre-empts over a strong NoTrump are often not that effective.
- With a long virtually solid minor and a probable entry it's usually best to keep quiet and hope that they end up in 3NT.

Bidding Quiz Answers

- Hand A: Pass. It's best to pass with just two of partner's suit, complete the transfer with three or four (min values) and super-accept with four and a maximum.
- Hand B: 3♠, forcing. This bid is forcing whether you play Lebensohl or not and it shows five ♠'s, offering partner the choice of 4♠ or 3NT.
- Hand C: 1♠ or 1♠. This shapely hand is 21 for the rule of 20 and is easily worth an opener. It's probably up to your system and partnership style if you choose 1♠ or 1♠. Playing 2/1 I would certainly open 1♠ as a rebid of 3♣ over a two level response does not show extra values, just shape, in 2/1. Even in Standard American I prefer 1♠ as this is a very good ♠ suit and the hand really is not strong enough to open 1♣ and then bid ♠'s twice (and there may well be interference so that partner will never know that you have 5 ♠'s).
- Hand D: (a) Double. The hand is far too strong for a simple 1♠ and is also too strong for 1NT (15-18)
 - (b) 2NT, with 3♣ and 3♠ as a fairly reasonable alternatives. With these great ♥'s I definitely prefer 2NT showing 19-20 points and good ♥ stop(s).
- Hand E: 2♠, obviously. 1NT is especially poor with these good ♠'s and a weak doubleton.
- Hand F: 3NT. Partner probably has 4 ♥'s and may or may not have 4 ♠'s. Unfortunately if you try to find a ♠ fit you will go past 3NT. This is very similar to problem F last week it's usually best to bid 3NT in these situations.
- Hand G: 3♥. Partner will think that this is a help-suit game try and if he accepts then you can try for slam. Note that if partner accepts with 4♥ then 4♥ is natural and you should go for the ♥ slam. If partner accepts with 4♣ or 4♦ then that is a cue bid showing the ace.
- Hand H: Pass. 3♣ vulnerable is sticking you neck out as it's easy for the next hand to double for penalties. Also, this hand has great defence to 3NT if they end up there and will also be useful in defending a 4♥ or 4♠ contract. With a good long minor it's often best to defend against No Trumps.

Bidding Sequences Quiz Answers

J	1NT	2♥	dbl	dbl is penalties and opener is expected to pass
K	1NT	2♥	2♠	2♠ is weak
L	1NT	2♥	3♠	3♠ is absolutely forcing, showing 5 ♠'s.
Μ	1♠	pass	2♠	2♠ promises only 3 ♠'s (in every country except Italy?).
N	1♣	1♥	1♠	Playing negative doubles the $1 \triangleq$ bid is best played as promising $5 \triangleq$'s (negative double to show $4 \triangleq$'s).
Р	1 ▲ pass	pass dbl	1NT pass	I was asked about this one and I guess it's up to partnership understanding, but I would play dbl here is take-out; a hand not strong enough for an initial double but good enough to bid in the balancing seat. I suppose that you could play it as penalties with a \blacklozenge stack but that situation comes up less often than the balancing take-out scenario. Having written the above I looked it up in Crowhurst's "Acol in competition" and he says that it is for take-out.