

My home phone is **038 422924** and my mobile number is **086 6089887**

It is best to use my home number to contact me unless I am at the bridge club.

Mon 7 th	1 st Bengt & Janne	70%	2 nd Ivy & Phil	57%
Wed 9 th (Individual)	1 st Janne 68%	2 nd Phil	60%	
Fri 11 th	1 st Albert & Bob	63%	2 nd Ivy & Phil	57%

Bidding Quiz

Standard American is assumed unless otherwise stated.

Hand A

Hand B

With Hand A LHO opens 1♦ and partner overcalls 1♠, what do you do?

♠ J42
♥ A10983
♦ A9
♣ J106

♠ KQ109743
♥ Q
♦ AQ82
♣ 5

With Hand B you are in 3rd seat at favourable vulnerability, what do you open?

Hand C

Hand D

With Hand C partner opens 1♦ and RHO overcalls 1♠, what do you do?

♠ 32
♥ 6542
♦ 3
♣ KQJ876

♠ KJ
♥ AK8
♦ A1092
♣ A1042

(a) What do you open with hand D?
(b) Suppose you choose 1♦ and LHO overcalls 1♠; this is passed round to you. What do you do?

Hand E

Hand F

With Hand E RHO opens 1♣ (or 1♦), what do you bid?

♠ AQ9875
♥ QJ1073
♦ 5
♣ 3

♠ J8
♥ Q109862
♦ Q97
♣ KQ

Just for a change, we'll have a couple of questions on the Multi 2♦ (weak major, strong minor or strong balanced).
With Hand F you open 2♦, what do you bid when
(a) Partner responds 2NT? (b) Partner responds 2♠?

Bidding Sequences Quiz

All of these sequences occurred this week

G	1♥	dbl	3♥		What is 3♥ - pre-emptive or invitational.
H	1♥	1♠	3♥		What is 3♥ - pre-emptive or invitational.
J	1♣	1♠	2♥		What is 2♥ - weak or forcing
K	1♥	dbl	2♦		What is 2♦ - weak or forcing
L	1♣	1♠	dbl	pass	dbl is negative, what is 2♥ - weak or forcing
	2♣	pass	2♥		
M	1♣	1♠	pass	pass	What is 2♥ - weak or forcing
	dbl	pass	2♥		
N	1♣	pass	1♥	2♦	What is dbl, penalty or 'negative' - showing ♠'s?
	dbl				
P	1♣	pass	1♠	2♦	What is dbl, penalty or 'negative' - showing ♥'s?
	dbl				
Q	1♣	1♠	pass	pass	How strong is dbl?
	dbl				
R	1♦	1♠	pass	pass	How strong is 1NT?
	1NT				
S	1♦	1♠	pass	2♥	Is 2♥ forcing?
T	1♦	1♠	pass	3♠	What is 3♠?
U	1♦	1♠	pass	2♦	What is 2♦?
V	2♦	pass	2NT	dbl	Here we are playing the infamous Multi 2♦, so 2♦ is weak with a major or strong with a minor or strong and balanced. 2NT asks, what is 3♣?
	3♣				
W	2♦	pass	2♠	dbl	It's the Multi again, (a) What is 2♠, and how strong? (b) What is 3♣ this time?
	3♣				

The Club Championships

I have calculated the 2007 club championship standings to date and they are in the results folder and on the web. Nobody has yet qualified (30 results) for the Gold cup; the top 5 for the silver and bronze are currently: -

Silver plate = Best 10

645.6 Janne Roos
634.5 Lars Gustafsson
627.1 Gunnar Barthel
625.8 Paul Savelkral
619.5 Dave Cutler

Bronze medal = Best 5

336.7 Janne Roos
332.9 Paul Savelkral
332.3 Bengt Malmgren
325.6 Gunnar Barthel
325.6 Lars Gustafsson

The forcing pass

Board 10 from Monday 7th

Generally speaking, the Forcing Pass applies when your side has freely bid to game and clearly has the balance of power.

Dealer:	♠ AK10975				
East	♥ 86	West	North	East	South
Both vul	♦ 1062	-	-	pass	1♦ (1)
	♣ Q2	2♥ (2)	2♠ (3)	4♥ (4)	4♠
		5♥ (5)	dbl (6)	pass	pass (7)
		pass			
♠ -	N	♠ 863			
♥ QJ10973	W E	♥ K542			
♦ A754	S	♦ Q			
♣ 1075		♣ J9863			
	♠ QJ42				
	♥ A				
	♦ KJ983				
	♣ AK4				

- (1) This is a big hand, but 1♦ is absolutely correct.
- (2) A weak jump overcall, with this solid suit and a void + a reasonable 2nd suit I would not argue with 3♥.
- (3) Forcing. This is a great ♠ suit and so is worth a forcing 2♠ bid.
- (4) Obeying 'the Law'.
- (5) This is one above 'the Law' but it's worth it because of what I said at (2) above.
- (6) But this is wrong – North does not know if 5♠ or double is best and should leave it up to partner with a forcing pass.
- (7) South has no reason to pull partner's penalty double.

And what happened? The E-W hands played very well and 5♥ doubled went down two for just 500 away. Every other N-S was in 4♠ making +1 or +2.

The bottom lines: -

- You can bid above the Law when you have good shape and a good trump suit.
- If your side has freely bid game and obviously have the majority of points, then the forcing pass applies when the opponents sacrifice.
- Playing the forcing pass, a double is penalties and partner cannot pass your forcing pass, he must either double or bid one more.

Staying low?

Board 9 from Friday 11th

West	East
♠ AK2	♠ J1097653
♥ QJ43	♥ K107
♦ A9	♦ 73
♣ AK5	♣ 7

Janne & Bengt have been pretty dominant over the last few weeks; mainly from good bidding and play. But then there's always the luck factor! They bid these E-W cards to an ultra safe 2♠; everybody else was in the 95% 6♠ which went minus one when the ♠'s split 3-0 with the ♠Qxx was offside, so 2♠+3 was an outright top!

Beware of mis-fits

Board 11 from Monday 7th

This has been a topic in a number of news-sheets. Basically, the message has been the same – mis-fits are bad, stay low, and try not to be the declaring side when there is a mis-fit. West converted a lovely top into a complete bottom on this deal when it was an obvious mis-fit; he decided to declare in RHO's suit rather than defend a contract which partner had doubled for penalties: -

Dealer:	♠ KJ1087				
South	♥ Q2	West	North	East	South
Love all	♦ J9872	-	-	-	1♥
	♣ 10	pass (1)	1♠	pass	2♣
		dbl (2)	2♦ (3)	pass	2♥
♠ 965	N	pass	pass	dbl (4)	pass
♥ -	W E	3♣ (5)	pass	pass	dbl
♦ KQ43	S	all pass			
♣ A97632					
	♠ A3				
	♥ K7654				
	♦ 105				
	♣ KQ85				
	♠ Q42				
	♥ AJ10983				
	♦ A6				
	♣ J4				

- (1) This hand is a bit tricky and there are a few bids worth considering. A weak 3♣, an offbeat 2NT (UNT) and 2♣. But the hand is not really suitable for any of these bids and pass is best.
- (2) Showing ♣'s. fine.
- (3) Natural, and confirming to all of the world that N-S have a complete mis-fit.
- (4) Penalties – you guys ain't making anything.
- (5) This is ludicrous, West has already stated his hand, the opponents are playing in a complete mis-fit which partner has doubled. Pass is very clear – collect the 500 on offer rather than give 500 away by bidding RHO's 2nd suit. Actually, West can be almost certain that partner has 6 ♥'s, South's bidding suggests five and North's bidding suggests at most two.

And what happened? 3♣ doubled went for 500. 2♥ doubled would have gone for 500. Had N-S run to 2♠ (unlikely, North will pass 2♥ doubled holding ♥Qx) then that would still be a top for E-W (2♠ undoubled went two down at another table).

The bottom lines: -

- You will find that you run out of partners if you pull partner's penalty double and convert +500 into -500.
 - Try not to be declarer with misfits.
 - It's perhaps interesting to look at the basic mathematics here: -
 - When East doubled 2♥ he said that E-W could make 6 or more trick in ♥'s.
 - When West bid 3♣ he said he did not believe partner and that he could make 9 tricks in ♣'s.
- Which do you think is more likely?

It's not forcing

Board 23 from Monday 7th

Is a new suit over partner's overcall forcing? This is probably up to partnership understanding – I play the most common treatment that it is constructive but non-forcing.

Dealer: ♠ K108
South ♥ K642
Both vul ♦ QJ102
♣ K5

♠ J42 N ♠ AQ763
♥ A10983 W E ♥ Q5
♦ A9 S ♦ 876
♣ J106 ♣ AQ8
♠ 95
♥ J7
♦ K543
♣ 87432

Table A

West(A)	North	East	South
-	-	-	pass
pass	1♦	1♠ (1)	pass
2♥ (2)	pass	2♠ (3)	all pass

'Expert' Table

West(A)	North	East	South
-	-	-	pass
pass	1♦	1♠ (1)	pass
2♦ (2)	pass	4♠ (4)	all pass

- Table A: (1) This 1♠ overcall is pretty obvious – do not double 'to show an opening hand'.
(2) What did you bid with this West hand A in this week's quiz? Actually I think it's quite close between a simple raise to 2♠ and a raise to 3♠ (using the Unassuming Cue bid). 2♥ would never enter my mind because (a) it's not forcing (sequence S), and (b) there is a known 5-3 ♠ fit.
(3) East did not trust his partner, seems he was right.
- 'Expert' Table (1) Our expert West decided to try for game; and in that case the correct bid is 2♦
- the Unassuming Cue Bid – to show a sound raise to 3♠ or better. 3♠ here would be a weak bid.
(4) And East has enough to bid game.

And what happened? 2♠ made +2, 4♠ was bid just once and made. The other three pairs all played in 2♥ (or 3♥) and deservedly got poor scores.

The bottom lines: -

- Support partner! Do not introduce a 5 card suit of your own if you have 3 card support for partner's 5 card major suit.
- A new suit over partner's overcall is usually played as constructive but non-forcing.
- Alan Purdy was at the table and disagreed with the above statement – he claimed that a new suit by advancer (overcaller's partner) is forcing by a non-passed hand. I guess it's up to partnership agreement but non-forcing is standard. Here is a quote from my usual source 'Standard Bridge Bidding for the 21st century – by Max Hardy'. On page 197 it states "A change of suits by advancer is a search for a better place to play and is non forcing". The book is in the library and I always bring a copy of this book to the club if anybody wants to borrow it – it is easily the best general bidding book around but does assume two-over-one; quite so.

To bid (5♠) or not to bid ...

Board 23 from Monday 7th

I was asked if North's 5♠ bid at Table A was justified on this deal – my answer was that I was North at Table B and so the problem did not arise at our table.

Dealer:	♠ KQ109743		<u>Table A</u>				
South	♥ Q		West	North(B)	East	South	
E-W vul	♦ AQ82		-	-	-	pass	
	♣ 5		pass	1♠ (1)	2♣ (2)	2♠	
			3♣ (3)	4♠ (4)	5♣ (5)	pass	
♠ 6	N	♠ A	pass	5♠ (6)	dbl	all pass	
♥ KJ10763	W E	♥ 94					
♦ 54	S	♦ K109	<u>Table B</u>				
♣ 10764		♣ AKQ9832	West	North(B)	East	South	
	♠ J852		-	-	-	pass	
	♥ A852		pass	4♠ (1)	pass (7)	pass	
	♦ J763		pass				
	♣ J						

- Table A:
- (1) What did you open with this North hand B in this week's quiz? It's far too good for 3♠ and most players opened 1♠.
 - (2) This looks right to me, double and then bidding ♣'s is the alternative.
 - (3) West has ample to raise to 3♣. 3♥ (non-forcing but constructive) is the alternative.
 - (4) North now shows his power with a jump to 4♠...
 - (5) ... but it's too late, E-W have found their fit ...
 - (6) ... and North has no idea whether to pass or bid 5♠.

- Table B:
- (1) This is my answer to question B.
 - (7) And East does not know that partner has excellent ♣ support.

And what happened? 4♠ made exactly for a top. Three North's were in 5♠ doubled going one down (presumably with an auction similar to Table A) and one West was in 4♥-2.

The bottom lines: -

- When non-vul, think about opening 4♥/♠ with a good suit even if only 7 card.
- Pre-empt to the limit at favourable vulnerability in the third seat.
- I was asked if North should North have bid 5♠ at (4)? I don't know, I would not be in the mess as I open 4♠ and then never speak again.
- Opening 4♠ solves any problems about bidding later (pass!).
- Nobody was in 5♣, but it looks like one or two down to me.

A missed slam

Board 25 from Wednesday 9th

Perhaps slam is not that easy to bid on this deal, but North sold out totally at Table B.

Dealer:	♠ 73			<u>Table A</u>				
North	♥ KQ4			West	North	East	South	
E-W vul	♦ AKJ4			-	1♣	1♠	2♥ (1)	
	♣ AJ65			2♠ (2)	4♥ (3)	pass	pass (4)	
				pass				
♠ QJ104	N	♠ AK9865		<u>Table B</u>				
♥ 7	W E	♥ 52		West	North	East	South	
♦ Q7532	S	♦ 86		-	1♦	2♠ (5)	3♥	
♣ Q107		♣ 983		3♠ (6)	dbl (7)	all pass		
	♠ 2							
	♥ AJ109863			<u>Table C</u>				
	♦ 109			West	North	East	South	
	♣ K42			-	1♦	2♠ (5)	4♥ (8)	
				4NT (9)	etc to 6♥.			

- Table A: (1) Forcing, showing 5+ ♥'s.
(2) I would bid 3♠ - pre-emptive and promising 4 ♠'s.
(3) Maybe North should make a bigger noise – perhaps with 3♠?
(4) But this really is a bit pathetic, with a great suit that partner has supported and a singleton in the opponent's suit, RKCB is called for.
- Table B: (5) This East chose a weak jump overcall of 2♠ - quite reasonable.
(6) I would bid 4♠ (the Law), but 3♠ proved quite good enough...
(7) ... when North doubled!
- Table C: (8) This South chose 4♥, as 3♥ is forcing I guess this shows a good suit?
(9) And North stormed into Blackwood hoping that partner was short in ♠'s,

And what happened? 6♥ made for the top and 4♥ made +2. 3♠ doubled went only one down and the resultant 200 for N-S was a bottom for them.

The bottom lines: -

- Don't double for penalties without good trumps, especially when you have a fit for partner, this double at (7) is a terrible bid even at favourable vulnerability.
- I don't like the bidding at any of the tables. N-S should be in 6♥ but I don't like North bidding Blackwood at (9) with two losing ♠'s, especially when he knows he's getting a ♠ lead. One decent auction would be if South had bid RKCB at (4).

Wrongly criticised

Board 17 from Wednesday 9th

N-S missed a comfortable 3NT (or else a reasonable penalty) on this board at Table A; who would you blame – North or South? One of the pair was unjustly criticised on Wednesday and the real culprit got away unscathed... up until now.

Dealer: ♠ KJ
North ♥ AK8
Love all ♦ A1092
♣ A1042

♠ 1065	N	♠ AQ9875
♥ 9	W E	♥ QJ1073
♦ KQJ8764	S	♦ 5
♣ 95		♣ 3
	♠ 32	
	♥ 6542	
	♦ 3	
	♣ KQJ876	

Table A

West	North(D)	East (E)	South(C)
-	1♦ (1)	1♠ (2)	pass (3)
pass (4)	1NT (5)	2♥	pass (6)
2♠	pass (7)	pass	pass (8)

Table B

West	North(D)	East(E)	South(C)
-	1♣ (1)	1♠ (2)	3♣
3♦ (9)	3NT	pass	pass
4♦ (10)	dbl	all pass	

- Table A: (1) What did you open with this North hand D(a) in this week's quiz? I always open 1♣ when 4-4 in the minors, but expert opinion is divided and many experts do advocate a 1♦ opening.
- (2) What did you bid with this East hand E in this week's quiz? This hand has great playing strength and is probably just about good enough for a Michaels 2♣ followed by a bid. The very reasonable alternative is to overcall 1♠ followed by a ♥ bid if necessary.
- (3) What did you bid with this South hand C in this week's quiz? The hand is not good enough for 2♣, but there is a far better alternative than pass – double – showing 4 ♥'s and values to compete.
- (4) With a known 5-3 or better ♠ fit I would bid 2♠.
- (5) What did you bid with this North hand D(b) in this week's quiz? Apparently this North was criticized by one of the club's leading players for rebidding 1NT with 19 points. I think that the bid is correct. One would normally bid the 'automatic' re-opening double in this position, but partner cannot reasonably be expected to have a penalty ♠ hand when you have this holding, so 1NT here shows ♠ stop(s) and a hand too good for a 1NT opening. Well bid Ivy.
- (6) Unfortunately South missed his 2nd chance to bid – 3NT would be fine.
- (7) North has stated her hand exactly and should not bid again.
- (8) South missed his 3rd chance to rescue the situation

- Table B: (1) This North chose 1♣ (well, it was me).
- (2) And East again opted for the 1♠ overcall.
- (9) West decided to bid his suit rather than support partner.
- (10) And West again decided not to support partner.

And what happened? 3NT by North is easy. 4♦ went minus two for a joint top to N-S but 4♠ would have gone only minus one for a good score to E-W. 2♠ by East made exactly for a top. One E-W pair sacrificed in 5♠ doubled (-2) when N-S bid 5♣. 5♣ by North makes on a squeeze/endplay/whatever according to Dave but it's pretty academic when nobody is in it.

So who got it wrong at Table A? In my opinion North's bidding was fine, South had three chances to show his hand and failed at every turn; North was certainly unjustly criticized.

The bottom lines: -

- Opinions differ as to whether to open 1♣ or 1♦ when 4-4 in the minors; I always open 1♣ but that is a personal preference and many experts do advocate 1♦.
- Support partner, West should have bid ♠'s rather than ♦'s (twice).
- With a good 6 points opposite partner's opening, remember the negative double.
- A 1NT bid in sequence R (1♦ 1♠ pass pass 1NT) shows about 18-19 points.

A Multi Mix-up

Board 3 from Friday 7th

As I have said in previous news-sheets, inexperienced or non-established pairs can often get mixed up when using the Multi 2 diamonds: -

Dealer:	♠ 1062					
South	♥ AJ73		West	North	East	South(F)
E-W vul	♦ 43		-	-	-	2♦ (1)
	♣ 983		pass	2♠ (2)	dbl	3♣ (3)
			dbl	pass (4)	pass	4♥ (5)
			pass	pass	dbl (6)	all pass
♠ 973	N	♠ AKQ54				
♥ 54	W E	♥ K				
♦ K105	S	♦ AJ862				
♣ AJ1064		♣ 75				
	♠ J8					
	♥ Q109862					
	♦ Q97					
	♣ KQ					

- (1) The Multi two diamonds: weak with a 6 card major or strong with a minor suit or strong and balanced.
- (2) Showing a willingness to compete to 3♥ or more if partner's suit is ♥'s or else to play in 2♠ if partner's suit is ♠'s.
- (3) What did you bid with this South hand F(b) in this week's quiz? I believe that South got confused, thinking that North hand a hand similar to a 2NT enquiry, and responded accordingly. This is incorrect, North is not showing a strong hand and South should simply bid 3♥. 3♣ here shows a strong hand with a good ♣ suit.
- (4) North thinks that South has a good hand with ♣'s.
- (5) South thinks that North has a good hand with ♥'s.
- (6) East thinks that these guys don't have a clue what they're doing.

And what happened? 4♥ doubled went down two for 300 and a top for E-W. I note that another N-S pair bid to 5♥ going 4 down but were not doubled! At the third table E-W played in 3♠ (2♥ - pass - 3♥ - 3♠ - all pass).

The bottom lines: -

- The Multi is an amusing gadget but that does not mean it's better than traditional weak twos.
- This hand is a good example (even assuming that South got it right) because North cannot immediately raise the pre-empt to 3♥ or 4♥ as he does not know South's hand type.
- If you really want to play the Multi – then understand all of the sequences!
- I much prefer Benjamin twos to the Multi 2♦; no mix-ups.

Obey 'The Law'

Board 21 from Monday 7th

N-S got clobbered here. South blamed North for 'bidding with just 3 points'. Who would you blame?

Dealer:	♠ J1054				
North	♥ 875	West	North	East	South
N-S vul	♦ J72	-	pass	1♣	1♠
	♣ J84	1NT	2♠ (1)	3♣	3♠ (2)
		dbl	all pass		
♠ K76	N	♠ 2			
♥ K42	W E	♥ AQ103			
♦ K9853	S	♦ 106			
♣ 76		♣ AKQ1093			
	♠ AQ983				
	♥ J96				
	♦ AQ4				
	♣ 52				

- (1) A bit light, but it does contain 4 decent ♠'s and so is fairly safe...
- (2) ... but not with this partner, this is totally wrong. East has shown a big hand and West has 6-9 points – that does not leave partner with a lot. And even if South believes that the points are evenly balanced between the partnerships he should still pass because of the **LAW of total tricks**. In competitive auctions you should only bid to the level of the number of combined trumps. Points are a secondary consideration – with only 5 ♠'s South should pass as North has only promised 3 ♠'s, and E-W may not even bid game because of the ♠ interference and support.

And what happened? 3♠ went three down for 800 away and a bottom for N-S. Most E-W pairs were in 3NT making +2.

The bottom lines: -

- Obey the Law.

Dave's Column

Board 23 from Wednesday 9th

Here is part of this week's Dave input involving the best play for the contract.

North	South	You reach a reasonable 6♠ by South on the this deal, with
♠ Q43	♠ AK10986	no opposition bidding, and West leads the ♣K.
♥ KJ86	♥ A742	You ruff the 2 nd ♣ and lead the ♠A upon which East shows
♦ K876	♦ AQ	out. How do you continue?
♣ 103	♣ Q	

Dave's Column answer

The actual article goes into "when a simple squeeze will not work because of entry problems, look for a criss-cross squeeze"...

This really is far too complex for the news-sheets. Now it's fairly obvious that South can pick up West's ♠J753 but two out of three declarers at the Pattaya bridge club played the ♠6 to the ♠Q at trick 3 to let West later make his ♠J! If the majority cannot even see that they can pick up the ♠J then I think I (Terry) am wasting my time if I write up the criss-cross squeeze?

And what happened? Everybody was in 4♠; one made exactly and two made +1.

Bidding Sequences Quiz Answers

G	1♥	dbl	3♥		3♥ is pre-emptive after a double. With a sound raise to 3♥, bid the Jordan 2NT
H	1♥	1♠	3♥		3♥ here is up to partnership understanding. Many also play this as weak but standard is that it's the same as without the overcall.
J	1♣	1♠	2♥		2♥ is forcing, a good 5+ card suit.
K	1♥	dbl	2♦		2♦ is weak, a 6+ card suit. With a good hand, redouble.
L	1♣	1♠	dbl	pass	2♥ here is weakish, about 6-9 and a 5+ card suit.
	2♣	pass	2♥		
M	1♣	1♠	pass	pass	2♥ here is weak, about 0-5 and a 5+ card suit.
	dbl	pass	2♥		
N	1♣	pass	1♥	2♦	This is up to partnership understanding. Standard is that it is penalties but a Support Double is an excellent alternative. You could play it as showing 4 ♠'s if you do not play Support Doubles
	dbl				
P	1♣	pass	1♠	2♦	Penalties unless you play Support Doubles. This time partner has 'denied' ♥'s and so there is no need to show the unbid major.
	dbl				
Q	1♣	1♠	pass	pass	12+, this is simply the 'automatic' re-opening double.
	dbl				
R	1♦	1♠	pass	pass	1NT here is around 18-19 with good ♠ stop(s).
	1NT				
S	1♦	1♠	pass	2♥	A simple change of suit over partner's overcall is not forcing.
T	1♦	1♠	pass	3♠	3♠ is weak and pre-emptive.
U	1♦	1♠	pass	2♦	The 2♦ Unassuming Cue Bid shows a sound raise to 3♠ or better.
V	2♦	pass	2NT	dbl	2NT over a Multi asks partner to define his hand and 3♣ shows a weak two in ♥'s but at the upper range, about 8-10. If opener has a strong ♣ hand he bids 4♣ as slam is surely on the cards.
	3♣				
W	2♦	pass	2♠	dbl	(a) 2♠ is pass or correct over a Multi 2♦ (if opener is weak) and simply shows a weak hand with ♥'s that is able to compete to 3♥ or more if opener has a weak ♥ hand.
	3♣				(b) 3♣ shows a strong hand with ♠'s.

A High reverse is game forcing Board 12 from Friday 11th

Dealer:	♠ 83				
West	♥ KQ753	West	North	East	South
N-S vul	♦ 106	1♥	pass	1NT	2♠
	♣ AK92	3♦ (1)	pass	4♦ (2)	pass
		pass (3)	pass		

♠ AK6	N	♠ 105
♥ A10942	W E	♥ 6
♦ KQ543	S	♦ AJ972
♣ -		♣ Q8743
	♠ QJ9742	
	♥ J8	
	♦ 8	
	♣ J1065	

- (1) This is called a high reverse and it's game forcing.
- (2) Obviously East likes ♦'s but he does not bid bid 5♦ (fast arrival) as there may be a ♦ slam.
- (3) Unfortunately West was on a different wavelength. Clearly West should bid on because: -
 - (a) the sequence is game forcing,
 - (b) it's gone past 3NT,
 - (c) partner has shown encouragement for a ♦ slam.

And what happened? 4♦ made +2 for a fully deserved total bottom. The two other E-W pairs bid to 5♦. Nobody bid 6♦. The bottom lines: -

A high reverse is game forcing.

- Don't pass below game in game forcing sequences.
- If you've gone past 3NT, then a minor suit slam is often the best bet.

Bidding Quiz Answers

- Hand A:** 2♠ or 2♦. This hand is close between 2♠ and a limit raise to 3♠. If you think it's worth a game try then cue bid 2♦ to show a sound raise to 3♠ or better. 3♠ is wrong because it's a weak pre-emptive raise and 2♥ is wrong because it's not forcing and you already have a known ♠ fit. 4 out of 5 got this wrong (bid 2♥) on Monday.
- Hand B:** 4♠. It's far too good for 3♠ and if you open 1♠ that will enable the opponents to find their ♣ or ♥ fit. The lack of an 8th ♠ is compensated for by the good 2nd suit and the vulnerability.
- Hand C:** Dbl. Negative, showing 4 ♥'s and values to compete.
- Hand D:** (a) 1♣. I always open 1♣ when 4-4 in the minors and cannot open 1NT. However, this is not universally accepted but with this hand it is certainly best as you have a 2NT rebid over any 1-level response from partner. What's more, a 1♣ opening enables a ♣ fit to be found if that is the best strain.
 (b) 1NT. This is NOT 12-14. It shows a hand too good for a 1NT opening and with good ♠'s. Note that this is one of the rare exceptions where you should not re-open with a double – it is fairly certain that partner does not have a ♠ penalty hand, so tell him what you've got at the safe 1-level. 2NT is an overbid.
- Hand E:** Either 1♠ or 2 of the suit opened. If you play Michaels as either weak or strong then this hand is just about strong enough to insist upon game by using Michaels and then bidding again. Overcalling 1♠ with a view to bidding the ♥'s later if necessary is the alternative.
- Hand F:** (a) 3♣, showing a good weak 2♥ opening (3♥ shows a bad 2♥ opening and 4♣ shows a strong ♣ hand)
 (b) 3♥, showing a weak 2♥ opening (3♣ shows a strong ♣ hand).